Validity and reliability of the volleyball serve accuracy-test
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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to examine the content, face, and sensitive validity, and test-retest reliability of a volleyball overhand serve accuracy-test. Four experts – university professors evaluated the content validity; 50 volleyball coaches, teachers, and athletes were enrolled in the face validity. Thirty-three undergraduate students (18 experienced and 15 novices) participated in the study to assess the test capability to detect different performance levels. The sensitive validity was examined by comparing differences in the serving accuracy among experience levels using the t-test for independent samples. A cluster analysis (hierarchical cluster) was conducted using the between-groups linkage method and the Euclidean quadratic distance measure. The test-retest reliability was analysed using intraclass correlation coefficients and the standard error. Satisfactory results were found for experts’ agreement regarding the test’s accuracy and content validity. Face validity was adequate regarding clarity, pertinence, and applicability (78% to 86% of agreement). A high degree of test-retest reliability was observed for both novices (ICC = 0.81) and experienced (ICC = 0.84) participants; experienced group (p = .001) had higher means. The volleyball serve accuracy-test is a viable alternative to assess beginners’ performance in a teaching-learning context.
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INTRODUCTION

Researchers of motor learning have aimed to run experiments with complex motor tasks. Some studies used a daily motor task such as salon dart throwing (Coca-Ugrinowitsch et al., 2014; Romano Smith et al., 2019). Other studies used complex motor skills from different sports, such as golf (Porter et al., 2007), tennis (Buszard et al., 2017; Hebert et al., 1996), badminton (Goode & Magill, 1986), baseball (Hall et al., 1994) and volleyball (Afrouzeh et al., 2020). Regarding volleyball, some studies adopted open motor skills such as the bump and two-hand volley/set (Bortoli et al., 1992; French et al., 1990), but the volleyball serve was the most used motor skill. The volleyball serve is characterized by the environment stability while it is executed, resulting in solid generalizability and specific practical applicability.

Although some tests assess volleyball skills such as spike or bump (Gabbett & Georgieff, 2006; Palao & Valadés, 2012; Palao & Valades, 2016), most motor learning studies have used the volleyball serve (Alishah et al., 2017; Costa et al., 2018; Cruz et al., 2018; Ghorbanzadeh et al., 2017), adopting the performance accuracy as the dependent variable. However, few instruments were submitted to a validity process. Test validity is essential to improve the ecological soundness of tests for teaching contexts (Robertson et al., 2014; Silverman, 1994).

Regarding validated tests for the volleyball serve, few instruments are available, such as the AAHPERD Serve Test (AAHPERD, 1969) and the Serve Test of the Volleyball Skills Test Battery (Bartlett et al., 1991); the score in these tests is related to the most challenging areas for reception in the actual game situation. Although the court area is a relevant feature considering the context of the game, it brings limitations in measuring changes in the accuracy characteristics of the learning process. For instance, the highest score when the ball reaches the back edge of the court (Bartlett et al., 1991) may impair the reproducibility and validity of the test because experienced individuals may attempt to reach the back edge of the court and miss by one centimetre, resulting in a score of zero. On the other hand, novices can aim for areas with lower scores, ensuring a minimum score (Morrow Jr et al., 2015). Thus, in the initial learning phase characterized by inaccuracy and lack of performance consistency (Fitts & Posner, 1967), low-sensitivity tests can make it difficult to assess the learning process of the serve.

Developing new tests and examining their validity and reliability are necessary to assess learning processes and verify the differences in performance related to the novice’s accuracy are critical to practitioners. This investigation is also relevant to motor learning researchers since few validated instruments to assess accuracy in complex skills with adequate sensitivity to identify small changes in performance are available. The present study examines the content, face, and sensitive validity, and test-retest reliability of a volleyball overhand serve accuracy-test.

METHODS

Participants

Four university professors (three experts in Motor Behaviour and one in Sports Pedagogy with emphasis on Volleyball) assessed the validity of the test regarding clarity, pertinence, and applicability; a Likert scale (4 points) was used. Further, 50 professionals, volleyball coaches’ youth and adults’ teams (N = 21), volleyball teachers working in schools (N = 13), and volleyball athletes (N = 16) independently participated in the face validity procedure using the same Likert scale to assess the face validity of the volleyball overhand serve accuracy-test. All coaches and teachers had large professional experience (5 to 10 years = 43%; 10 to 20 years = 12%; 20 to 30 years = 30%; 30 to 40 years = 15%), they also had previous experiences as athletes.
(14% professionals, 86% amateurs). To analyse sensitive validity, 33 volunteers, undergraduate students, self-declared right-handers, aged between 18 and 35 years, participated in the study. Two groups were formed, characterized by two-level of experience. The experienced group had 18 volunteers who participated in regular volleyball training (minimum of two weekly training sessions during the last two years) enrolled in a local university. The novice group had 15 volunteers with no volleyball training experience. The Ethics Committee in Research of the Federal University of Minas Gerais approved the project (Code: 1.939.735), and all procedures followed the APA ethical guidelines 7th Edition.

**Measures**
The volleyball serve was performed with participants positioned five meters away from the “A” side of the court, with both feet resting on the ground and facing the target laid on the floor on the “B” side (Figure 1). The task required to perform the overhand volleyball serve and hit the target bull’s eye (located four meters from the net on the “B” side of the court).

![Figure 1. Representation of the area for execution of task.](image)

The target had four circular areas of 1, 2, 3, and 4 meters in diameter. Scores related to accuracy concerning the target’s bull’s eye ranged from 2 to 22 points. Adhesive tape delimited a triangular area whose vertex originated at the position of the serve and passed through the side of the target. The width of all lines was five centimetres, and if the ball landed on a line, a higher score was obtained. Along with the trials, the serves that reached the target’s central area scored 22 points, and as the graduations moved away from the central region, the score decreased by 2 points. The serves that reached the triangular area outside the target and within the court scored 14 points; if the serve touched the upper band of the net and landed toward the target; the score was 12 points. The serves that reached the areas in the court and outside of the triangle scored 10 points – if the serve touched the net and went over the net but did not land in the target’s direction, the score was 8 points. Off-court serves were equivalent to 6 points. The triangular area on the “A” side of the court scored 4 points. The areas on the “A” side outside the triangle were 2 points. The experiment was run on an official volleyball court with the net set at the height of 2.24 m, using adhesive tape, a target, and official volleyball balls.

**Procedure**
Experts were invited to be a part of the content validity. After the final version was obtained, the target population (volleyball coaches, teachers, and athletes) were invited to assess face validity. Then, volunteers
were invited to participate in the study. Information regarding the study objectives, procedures, and risks was provided; all participants signed the informed consent. Before trials started, participants were instructed about the task goal and with a clear description of the kind of serve to be performed - overhand. After this initial instruction, each participant was directed individually to the serve position; each participant's performance was private. One researcher stranded on the left side of the participant and provided a ball, controlled the inter-trials interval (six to eight seconds), and prompted the action with the commends "prepare" and "go" before each trial. Another researcher stood on the court at side "B", close to the net and the triangle line, and scored each serve immediately after the trials. The experimenter did not provide feedback regarding the trials, but the location where the ball hit the ground was available throughout the trials. After seven days, the retest was conducted following the same protocol. Three participants from the experienced group and one participant from the novice group did not attend the retest; no information was provided regarding the reasons; their data were not included in the data analysis.

Analysis
Content and face validity were conducted using a Likert scale, ranging from 1 (totally disagree) to 4 (totally agree), with experts and the target public (coaches, teachers, and athletes) to assess test pertinence, clarity, and applicability. For content validity coefficient (CVC) was calculated (Hernández-Nieto, 2002), adopting above .80 as a satisfactory coefficient (Landis & Koch, 1977). Percent agreement was used to analyse the face validity of the professionals' responses.

Descriptive statistics of mean and standard deviation were provided. The normality of the data was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test; parametric inferential statistics were used. The discriminant validity of the test was examined by comparing differences in the serve accuracy between experienced and novice groups using independent t-tests. A hierarchical cluster analysis was conducted using the between-groups linkage method and the Euclidean quadratic distance measure, with 2 clusters through the single solution method. A Chi-square test was used to verify associations between groups and clusters. The test-retest reliability was analysed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) and the standard error of measurement (Weir, 2005). The statistical package SPSS for Windows (version 22.0) was used for all analyses, and the level of significance was set at $p < .050$.

RESULTS

Content and face validity
Initially, experts assess the test content validity. According to the experts' recommendations, the original test underwent two evaluations, undergoing modifications in its description and punctuation. After the two rounds, the final version was obtained. Experts agree that the test measures the accuracy of the volleyball serve; satisfactory levels of the agreement were obtained for clarity (CVC = .93), pertinence (CVC= 1.0), and applicability (CVC = .93). The face validity evaluated by the 50 professionals resulted in 78% concordance among them for clarity, 78% for pertinence, and 86% for applicability.

Sensitive validity
For sensitive validity, the independent variable - levels of experience - was analysed and the results showed the accuracy of the serve test. The t-test showed higher scores for the experienced group than the novice group at the test and the retest, with a large effect size(J, 1988). Cohen's index $d$ showed a high magnitude effect of experience level on volleyball serve accuracy for both the test ($d = 2.20$) and retest ($d = 1.59$).
Table 1. Groups comparisons: Means, standard deviations, p values and Cohen’s effect size (d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>.001*</td>
<td>2.20#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>.001*</td>
<td>1.59#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Student’s t-test p-values; # Cohen’s d large effect size.

Table 2 shows that the cluster analysis grouped most participants in a similar way to the original division of the groups by level of experience. In the cluster analysis by the level of experience groups, a high number of the cases were correctly classified (90.9%). Only one participant from the experienced group was grouped in cluster 1, whereas among the novices, two participants were grouped in cluster 2. A significant association between clusters and groups was found (Chi2 p = .001).

Table 2. Test-retest reliability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>%SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Novice</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.41 – .94</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.53 – .95</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.81 – .96</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N = participants; ICC = Intraclass Correlation Coefficient; CI = Confidence Interval; SEM = Standard Error Measure; % SEM = percentage of SEM in relation to the mean.

Reliability

Intra-class correlation coefficients, their respective confidence intervals, and the standard error of measure are presented in Table 3. A high degree of test-retest reliability was observed for both novice and experienced groups. For the temporal stability, the confidence interval was smaller for the total sample and experienced groups than for the novice groups; consequently, although adequate for novices, the test-retest was more robust for experiences and the total sample.

Table 3. Frequency distribution of clusters according to the level of experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th>Cluster 2</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inexperienced</td>
<td>13 (86.7%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced</td>
<td>1 (5.6%)</td>
<td>17 (94.4%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * Pearson’s Qui-square = 22.037. Ninety-one percent of the originally grouped cases were correctly classified.

DISCUSSION

This study examined the content, face, and sensitive validity, and test-retest reliability of a volleyball overhand serve accuracy-test. The results demonstrate that the target accuracy-test is a valid and reliable alternative for motor learning research and monitoring players’ progress. The experts agreed that the test could assess the serve accuracy, and the CVCs results for clarity, pertinence, and applicability were satisfactory - all results above recognized cutoffs (Hernández-Nieto, 2002; Landis & Koch, 1977). The group of professionals confirmed face validity; the test was considered to have pertinent content to assess serve accuracy with clear and understandable instruction.

The results also demonstrated the sensitivity of the volleyball serve accuracy-test to differentiate the experience levels. This form of validity is as essential as other psychometric criteria (Milne & Reiser, 2011).
As observed in the present study, concerning to accuracy tasks such as volleyball serve and other sports skills, it is expected that experienced practitioners perform better than the novice. A plausible explanation is related to a greater capacity of the experienced individuals to identify, remember, and handle the relevant information of the environment (Arroyo et al., 2016). In addition, cluster analysis demonstrated that almost all participants were grouped consistently with their original group, and the serve test for accuracy was able to differentiate the participants' performance levels.

Volleyball coaches have used skill tests in different stages to assess the performance level of young athletes on the basic skills (Lidor et al., 2007). Regarding serve skill, accuracy tests have focused on the game demands, with higher scores in areas that impose greater difficulty for reception (AAHPERD, 1969; Bartlett et al., 1991). These kinds of assessments are consistent with the specific demands of the sports and are highly relevant for monitoring the athletes' performance. Improvements in the parameterization of aspects such as strength, speed, and direction of the ball are relevant to the motor learning process. Instruments used to assess motor skills acquisition within sport contextual goals need to identify improvements in performance accuracy, and the present test achieves this objective. It is vital to note that although Bartlett et al. (1991) test differentiate athletes' performance accuracy during the volleyball game, the one-centimetre difference between the maximum and minimum score (as mentioned early) is a problem in distinguishing performance during early learning. Thus, the relationship between the level of experience and the test sensitivity was examined in the present study might be considered in future studies. For example, the no difference in performance accuracy of elite and near-elite players (Lidor et al., 2007) may occur due to the lower sensitivity of the instrument. The accuracy test proposed in the present study overcomes these limitations, besides presenting suitable validity and reliability indexes.

The findings related to test-retest reliability were considered suitable for both groups and excellent for the total sample (Koo & Li, 2016). Good and excellent levels of reliability, like those obtained in the present study, have been restricted in several tests that assess sports motor skills performance, around only 41% of 22 studies analysed in a systematic review achieve similar indexes (Robertson et al., 2014). The indices of the present study are also superior to those obtained by the NCSU test (Bartlett et al., 1991) and resemble those found by Gabbett and Georgieff (2006) in a proposal for assessing volleyball skills for junior players. However, the latter study did not use a specific target to assess the accuracy of the serve, only whether the ball hit the opposite side of the court or not. Therefore, as well as the NCSU Volleyball Skills Test Battery (Bartlett et al., 1991), the test proposed by Gabbett and Georgieff (2006) also runs the risk of underestimating the performance of players with a better technical level and overestimating the performance of beginners.

The present study's strength relates to the test applicability in teaching-learning context. The instrument is a viable alternative to evaluate an extensive range of performance, from novices to experienced players. One limitation of the present study was the small sample size regarding the sensitive validity, restricting our generalization capability.

CONCLUSIONS

The volleyball serve accuracy-test presents adequate validity and test-retest reliability and is a feasible alternative for motor learning research on complex motor skills; the results from this line of research may support sports intervention more than lab tasks (Christina, 1987). We recommended that in early learning, due to the difficulty in reaching the opposite side of the court because of structural and functional constraints, a shorter distance from the net can be used to facilitate the testing process - this alternative needs to be investigated regarding its psychometrics capabilities. We also suggested that other studies continue
examining the psychometrics of the serve accuracy-test regarding criterion validity and the strength of the relationship between test accuracy and movement patterns quality.
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